

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/218,060	12/21/1998	SLOVAK ONDREJ SUCH	777.162US1	3598	
26389 7	590 03/13/2002	,			
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			EXAMINER		
			ANYA, CHARLES E		
SEATTLE, W.	A 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002	! · · · · ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n

•.		Application No.	
	•		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/218,060	SUCH, SLOVAK ONDREJ
	omeened camma,	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	Charles E Anya	2151
Period fo	r Reply	auon appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months after different term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	d on	
2a) <u></u> □		b)⊠ This action is non-final.	•
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	for allowance except for formal made under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)	Claim(s) $1-19$ is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) <u>1 -19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	on and/or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner	
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		the Evaminer
,,,,	Applicant may not request that any object	-	
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed of		· ·
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🔲 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
_] All b)		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2		ocuments have been received in A	Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action f	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
		•	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) 15) <u> </u>	☐ The translation of the foreign langucknowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(_	
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	9-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 1

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Regarding the references (A Recyclable Locking for Multi-Threaded Computing environments docket no. 777.154US1 and A Token-Based Object Description docket no. 777.155US1) cited, applicant is advised to amend page 12, Ln. 14 –15, page 14, Ln. 12 – 15, page 6, Ln. 5 – 7, page 17, Ln. 3 – 5 to show the application numbers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Pat. No. 5,392,433 to Hammersley et. al. in view of applicant's admitted prior art (Applicant's admitted prior art will henceforth be referred to as APA, page 11, Ln. 22 -25, page 12, Ln. 1-20, page 13, page 25, and page 14, Ln. 1-7).

As to claim 1, Hammersley teaches an Asynchronous Programming environment (Asynchronous Program), a Dynamic Dispatch Scheme (See figures 2B, 3B, 3C), and an Object Recognition Scheme (Lock Table 80, Col. 4, Ln. 44 – 46). Hammersley is silent on use of dynamic object storage scheme for storing a plurality of objects.

Art Unit: 2151

APA teaches the use of dynamic object storage scheme for storing a plurality of objects (Dynamic Object Storage Scheme 200, page 12, Ln. 4 –10). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of APA to the system of Hammersley. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in that a dynamic object storage scheme allows for the creation, storage and removal of objects as suggested by APA.

As to claim 2, Hammersley as applied to claim 1 above, is silent with reference to the plurality of objects being stored via the dynamic object storage scheme and being accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

APA teaches the plurality of objects that is stored via the dynamic object storage scheme being accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism (Recyclable Mechanism 204, page 12, Ln. 10 - 19). It would have been obvious to apply the system of APA to the system of Hammersley. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in that it makes the locks reusable as suggested by APA.

As to claim 3, Hammersley as applied to claim 1 above, teaches the plurality of objects as described via the recognition scheme as each comprising a series of tokens (Supports more than one type of lock per resource address, Col. 4, Ln. 55 – 64), each token relating to an attribute (Resource Address Field 91) of the object.

As to claim 5, claim 1 meets claim 5, since claim 5 is a method claim of claim 1.

As to claim 6, claim 2 meets claim 6, since claim 6 is a method claim of claim 2.

As to claim 7, claim 3 meets claim 7, since claim 7 is a method claim of claim 3.

As to claim 8, claim 4 meets claim 8, since claim 8 is a method claim of claim 4.

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 9, claim 1 meets claim 9, expect for a processor and a computerreadable medium.

Hammersley teaches a Proacessor (System Processors 12), a Computer-Readable Medium (Memory 14).

As to claim 10, claim 2 meets claim 10, since claim 10 is a computer claim of claim 2.

As to claim 11, claim 3 meets claim 11, since claim 11 is a computer claim of claim 3.

As to claim 12, claim 4 meets claim 12, since claim 12 is a computer claim of claim 4

As to claim 13, Hammersley teaches the medium to comprise a memory (Memory 14).

As to claim 14, claim 1 meets claim 14, since claim 14 is a computer-readable medium of claim 1.

As to claim 15, claim 2 meets claim 15, since claim 15 is a computer-readable of claim 2.

As to claim 16, claim 3 meets claim 16, since claim 16 is a computer-readable of claim 3.

As to claim 17, claim 4 meets claim 17, since claim 17 is a computer-readable of claim 4.

Art Unit: 2151

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Pat. No. 5,392,433 to Hammersley et. al. in view of APA as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pullen et. al.

As to claim 4, as applied to claim 1 above, Hammersley and APA are silent as to the teaching of a dynamic dispatch scheme that provides for execution of objects based on unpacked-into-messages events.

Pullen teaches an unpacked-into-messages events (Control Messages, Col. 8, Ln. 23 - 50). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Pullen to the system of Hammersley and APA. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the fact that control messages are useful in controlling the functionalities of a computer system.

4. Claims 18 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Pat. No. 5,392,433 to Hammersley et. al. in view of APA as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Berbec.

As to claim 18, as applied to claim 14 above, Hammersley and APA are silent with reference to the medium comprising a compact disc read only memory (CD-ROM). Berbec teaches a Compact Disc Read only Memory (DASD). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Berbec to the system of Hammersley and APA. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in that it provides for memory that cannot be written to thereby preventing memory corruption.

As to claim 19, as applied to claim 14 above, Hammersley and APA are silent with reference to the medium comprising a floppy disk.

Art Unit: 2151

Berbec teaches a Floppy Disk (DASD). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Berbec to the system of Hammersley and APA. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in that it provides for memory that is portable.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 5,485,626 to Lawlor et. al.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 5,414,8441 to Bingham et. al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-3411. The examiner can normally be reached on (M – F, first Friday off) from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2151

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2151

ST. JOHN COURTENAY III PRIMARY EXAMINER